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Introduction

Congratulations, a reader was mildly intrigued by your title. Now you have 2-3 sentences to hook him/her into reading more by describing what your question was and why the answer might be of general interest. Gratuitous background information will cause them to walk away if you’re standing next to your poster, that can be awkward.

Typography research has shown that body text is easier to read if you use a serif font such as Times. But non-serif fonts are great for title, headings, figure legends, etc. Research also shows that fully justified text (this paragraph) is slightly harder to read even though it looks really clean.

Materials and methods

Few people, if any, really want to know the gruesome details of what you’ve been up to, so be brief. Use lightly-annotated photographs, drawings, or flow charts to visually convey your general experimental approach. To better engage viewers in your protocol or system, try attaching actual objects such as study organism (dead specimen), research gizmo, photo flip book, or a short movie (attach an old objects such as study organism (dead specimen), research gizmo, photo flip book, or a short movie (attach an old
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Figure 1. A photograph in your introduction can help lure people to your otherwise non-photogenic research. It’s not your image, ask photographer for permission to use, and cite him/her.

Results

The overall layout in this arena should be visually compelling, with clear cues on how a reader should travel through the components. Be creative. You might want a large map with inset graphs, or have questions on left with answers and supporting graphs on right. Be sure to separate figures from other figures by generous use of white space. When figures are too cramped, viewers get confused about which figures to read first and which legend goes with which figure.

If you can add small drawings or icons to your figures, those visual cues can be priceless aids in orienting viewers. And use colored arrows or callouts to focus attention on important parts of graphs. You can even put text annotations next to arrows to tell reader what’s going on that’s interesting in relation to the hypothesis being evaluated. E.g., “This outlier was most likely caused by contamination when I sneezed into tube.” Also, don’t be afraid of using colored connector lines to show how one part of a figure relates to another figure. These tips might induce gasps for published manuscript, but posters can be more personal and thus better guide viewers.

Subjects are sometimes unanswerable, like death. But go to great efforts to make it look professional. Look in a respected journal and emulate the layout, line types, line thickness, text alignment, etc., exactly. Again, use colored text or arrows to draw attention to important parts of the table.

Paragraph format is fine, but use bullet lists of results:

• 9 out of 12 brainstemized rats survived
• Brainstemized rats ate less
• Control rats completed maze faster, on average, than rats without brains
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Figure 2. Hire an artist to illustrate the important step in your protocol. A photograph of you actually doing something might be nice, too. [Image by John Snow 1853]
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Conclusions

Conclusions should not be dry restatements of your results. You want to guide the reader through what you have concluded from results, and you need to state why those conclusions are interesting (i.e., don’t assume reader will guess). These first several sentences should refer back to the burning issue mentioned in the introduction. If you didn’t mention a burning issue in the introduction, go back and fix that.

A good conclusion will also explain how your conclusions fit into the literature on the topic. E.g., how exactly does your research add to what is already published on the topic? It’s important to be humble and generous in this section, partly because authors of previous literature may still be alive and even attending the conference. You can also display your appreciation of others’ input by citing conversations you have had (with pers comm).

Finally, you want to tell readers who have lasted this long what might be done next and who should do it. E.g., are you currently taking the next logical step, or should another person with different skills follow up on your amazing results? It’s OK to put a bit of personality into this ending because viewers expect posters to be personal (and if you’re not actually standing there to convey your enthusiasm, your poster text should be doing that for you).

If you have a graphical way to express the next step of your hypothesis, by all means include it in this section. For example, you might make a graph with hypothetical data that shows an expected result in a future experiment. That’s something you normally don’t show in a traditional manuscript, but it’s totally fine for a poster.

If you’re curious, this poster has 683 words. Aim for 300 words. If you are above 1000 words, your poster will be annoyingly long to everyone except your mentor or colleague.

A well designed poster retains plenty of white space separating edges of text boxes, graphics, and tables. You also want space between your text and edge of box. Without white space a poster will looked cramped and uninviting.

Figure 3. Legends can briefly describe the experiment, answer the question, and even include statistics if you so choose (unlike a manuscript figure legend).

Figure 4. Label elements instead of relying on annoying keys that are default on most software. Add pictures of A and B if they are actually things (e.g., icons of rat with, without brain).

Figure 5. Don’t be tempted to reduce font size in figure legends, axes labels, etc. This is because viewers are probably most interested in reading your figures and legends.
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Figure 6. Don’t be tempted to reduce font size in figure legends, axes labels, etc. This is because viewers are probably most interested in reading your figures and legends.